

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,231	12/03/2003	Greg R. Black	CS21051RL	6173
20280 7590 12/18/2006 MOTOROLÀ INC			EXAMINER	
600 NORTH U	S HIGHWAY 45		DEAN, RAYMOND S	
ROOM AS437 LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2618	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/18/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,231	BLACK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond S. Dean	2618
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 - 28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A onty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion that Mansour does not relate to the establishment of direct mobile-to-mobile communication. The second paging channel in Mansour is monitored for the purpose of establishing a walkie-talkie call, which is the Nextel Direct Connect feature.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion that Mansour fails to teach the corresponding frequency limitation of Claim 23. The transmitter transmits at a particular frequency on the transmit frequency band. The receiver receives said transmission on a receive frequency that corresponds to said transmit frequency on a receive frequency band that corresponds to said transmit frequency band thus, when applying the broadest reasonable interpretation, Mansour reads on the limitation in question.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2, 11-21, 23, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mansour (US 2005/0085253).

Regarding Claim 1, Mansour teaches a method for establishing direct mobile-to-mobile communication between cellular mobile terminals, said method comprising: selecting a frequency designated as a cellular mobile communication frequency within a cellular communication system (Section 0027, the mobile phones that provide both cellular calls and walkie-talkie calls will communicate on a cellular mobile communication frequency within the cellular communication system); transmitting by a first cellular mobile terminal a communication initiation sequence at the selected frequency (Section 0038, the mobile will monitor the second paging channel for a particular notification, which comprises the initiation sequence); monitoring by a second cellular mobile terminal the designated mobile communication frequencies; and detecting by the second cellular mobile terminal the communication initiation sequence (Section 0038).

Regarding Claim 2, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches wherein prior to transmitting by a first cellular mobile terminal a communication initiation sequence at the selected frequency, a user actuation is received (Section 0038, in order to invoke the walkie-talkie function a user actuation such as activating a push-to-talk button must occur).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claim 11, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches frequency and timing information for use in the remainder of the communication between the cellular mobile terminals (Section 0038, there will be timing and frequency information in order for the mobile stations to engage half duplex communications).

Regarding Claim 12, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches prior to selecting a frequency and further establishing the direct mobile-to-mobile communication, scanning for existing network coverage by the first cellular mobile terminal, wherein direct mobile-to-mobile communication is authorized in areas where at least one of cellular network coverage is insufficient or where authorization for direct mobile to mobile communication is obtained from the cellular network (Section 0027, the mobile communications system supports walkie-talkie calls thus there will be authorization for said walkie-talkie calls).

Regarding Claim 13, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches wherein, when the authorization is obtained from the cellular network, the frequency selected corresponds to any frequency designation supplied by the cellular network (Section 0027, the mobile communications system will supply a particular frequency designation).

Regarding Claim 14, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches after selecting a frequency, selecting a channel associated with the selected frequency after monitoring the channel to insure the channel is not being currently used (Section 0038).

Regarding Claim 15, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches wherein the initiation sequence is transmitted for a period of time having a duration that overlaps at least a portion of the wake-up period of the second cellular mobile terminal (Section 0038, the mobile station will wake up and monitor the second paging channel for the notification during a particular slot cycle).

Regarding Claim 16, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour further teaches wherein after detecting the communication initiation sequence by the second cellular mobile terminal, the second cellular mobile terminal transmits an acknowledgement signal, in response to a detected communication initiation sequence (Section 0038, the mobile station will transmit an acknowledgement to the mobile station that transmitted the notification on the second paging channel).

Regarding Claim 17, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 16. Mansour further teaches wherein the acknowledgement signal includes receiver quality data (Section 0038, the mobile station will transmit an acknowledgement to the mobile station that transmitted the notification on the second paging channel, said acknowledgement will be transmitted at an adequate signal strength or power, which is quality data, such that the initiating mobile station can receive said acknowledgement successfully).

Regarding Claim 18, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 17. Mansour further teaches wherein the receiver quality data includes receiver level information (Section 0038, the mobile station will transmit an acknowledgement to

Art Unit: 2618

the mobile station that transmitted the notification on the second paging channel, said acknowledgement will be transmitted at an adequate signal strength level or power level, which is quality data, such that the initiating mobile station can receive said acknowledgement successfully).

Regarding Claim 19, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Clam 16. Mansour further teaches wherein the acknowledgement signal is transmitted by the second cellular mobile terminal at the selected frequency at alternative times relative to the transmissions from the first cellular mobile terminal at the selected frequency (Section 0038, the mobile station will transmit the acknowledgement to the initiating mobile station during times when said initiating mobile station is not transmitting such that said initiating mobile station can receive said acknowledgement successfully).

Regarding Claim 20, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 19. Mansour further teaches wherein the alternative times that the second cellular mobile terminal transmits a signal at the selected frequency has a predetermined time offset relative to any corresponding adjacent transmission from the first cellular mobile terminal (Section 0038, the mobile station will transmit the acknowledgement to the initiating mobile station during times when said initiating mobile station is not transmitting such that said initiating mobile station can receive said acknowledgement successfully, these times will be offset from the time the initiating mobile station is transmitting).

Regarding Claim 21, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 19. Mansour further teaches wherein the transmissions from each of the first and second cellular mobile terminals at the selected frequency are part of a time division duplex channel (Section 0022).

Regarding Claim 23, Mansour teaches a cellular mobile terminal adapted for direct mobile to mobile communication, said mobile terminal comprising: a cellular transmitter (Figure 2, Section 0028 lines 15 – 17); a cellular receiver (Figure 2, Section 0028 lines 15 – 17); and a control circuit, coupled to the cellular transmitter and the cellular receiver (Figure 2, Section 0028 lines 3 – 4), wherein at least one of the cellular transmitter and the cellular receiver functions at a frequency of operation, corresponding to the other one of the cellular transmitter and the cellular receiver (Section 0027, a typical cellular system will have a transmit frequency band and a corresponding receive frequency band).

Regarding Claim 28, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 23. Mansour further teaches a user actuated switch initiates a mobile -to-mobile communication (Section 0038, in order to invoke the walkie-talkie function a user actuation such as activating a push-to-talk switch must occur).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 8

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3 – 5, 7 – 10, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansour (US 2005/0085253) in view of Hunzinger et al. (US 2004/0116132).

Regarding Claim 3, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour does not teach determining the region in which the first cellular mobile terminal is operating, and selecting a cellular mobile communication frequency for the determined region.

Hunzinger teaches determining the region in which the first cellular mobile terminal is operating, and selecting a cellular mobile communication frequency for the determined region (Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039, when the wireless communication system for the location is selected there will be a frequency provided by said system for use).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Mansour with the determination and selection method of Hunzinger for the purpose of providing a system where the mobile station can limit the number of systems required for searching based on the geographic location of the mobile station thereby optimizing service acquisition as taught by Hunzinger.

Regarding Claim 4, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 3. Hunzinger further teaches wherein the cellular mobile communication frequency is a mobile transmission frequency for the determined region.

Art Unit: 2618

(Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039, when the wireless communication system for the location is selected there will be a frequencies provided by said system for use, said frequencies comprise transmission and reception frequencies).

Regarding Claim 5, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 3. Hunzinger further teaches wherein the cellular mobile communication frequency is a cellular mobile reception frequency for the determined region (Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039, when the wireless communication system for the location is selected there will be a frequencies provided by said system for use, said frequencies comprise transmission and reception frequencies).

Regarding Claim 7, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 3. Hunzinger further teaches receiving one or more global positioning system signals (Section 0029).

Regarding Claim 8, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 3. Hunzinger further teaches maintaining a record of the last region in which the first cellular mobile terminal successfully operated (Section 0032).

Regarding Claim 9, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour does not teach selecting a frequency that is designated as a cellular mobile transmit frequency in a first supported region and is designated as a cellular mobile received frequency in a second supported region.

Hunzinger teaches selecting a frequency that is designated as a cellular mobile transmit frequency in a first supported region and is designated as a cellular mobile

Art Unit: 2618

received frequency in a second supported region (Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039, the mobile can select for example a North American GSM system in one location and a European GSM system in another location, the frequency band for receiving in the North American system is the same as the frequency band for transmitting in the European system).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Mansour with the determination and selection method of Hunzinger for the purpose of providing a system where the mobile station can limit the number of systems required for searching based on the geographic location of the mobile station thereby optimizing service acquisition as taught by Hunzinger.

Regarding Claim 10, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 9. Hunzinger further teaches wherein the first supported region is the region in which the first cellular mobile terminal is operating (Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039).

Regarding Claim 22, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Mansour does not teach wherein the cellular mobile terminals including the first cellular mobile terminal and the second cellular mobile terminal are multi-region devices.

Hunzinger teaches wherein a cellular mobile terminal including a cellular mobile terminal is a multi-region device (Sections: 0026, 0029 – 0039, the device can be in operate in different geographical locations).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Mansour with the determination and selection method of Hunzinger for the purpose of providing a system where the mobile station can limit the number of systems required for searching based on the geographic location of the mobile station thereby optimizing service acquisition as taught by Hunzinger.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansour (US 2005/0085253) in view of Hunzinger et al. (US 2004/0116132) as applied to Claim 3 above, and further in view of Tanaka (US 6,819,919).

Regarding Claim 6, Mansour in view of Hunzinger teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 3. Mansour in view of Hunzinger does not teach receiving an operating region selection from a user.

Tanaka teaches receiving an operating region selection from a user (Column 3 lines 7 - 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Mansour in view of Hunzinger with the selection method of Tanaka for the purpose of providing an alternative means for providing the location of the mobile station as taught by Tanaka.

7. Claims 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansour (US 2005/0085253) in view of Abdelgany et al. (US 6,584,090).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding Claim 24, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 23. Mansour does not teach wherein the cellular receiver includes a preselection filter, which is passes frequencies including cellular transmitter frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal.

Abdelgany teaches a pre-selection filter, which passes frequencies including cellular transmitter frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal (Column 13 lines 8 – 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile terminal of Mansour with the filter of Abdelgany for the purpose of passing only the appropriate transmission band for CDMA as taught by Abdelgany.

Regarding Claim 26, Mansour teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 23. Mansour does not teach wherein the cellular transmitter includes a transmission bandpass filter, which is passes frequencies including cellular receiver frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal.

Abdelgany teaches a bandpass filter, which passes frequencies including cellular receiver frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal (Column 13 lines 37 – 39, image reject filters are bandpass filters).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile terminal of Mansour with the filter of Abdelgany for the purpose of filtering out image noise, which is a standard function of image reject filters.

Art Unit: 2618

8. Claims 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mansour (US 2005/0085253) in view of Abdelgany et al. (US 6,208,844).

Regarding Claim 25, Mansour does not teach wherein the cellular receiver includes a voltage-controlled oscillator having an operational range that is extended to include transmitter frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal.

Abdelgany teaches a voltage-controlled oscillator having an operational range that is extended to include transmitter frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal (Column 6 lines 36 – 41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile terminal of Mansour with the voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO) of Abdelgany for the purpose of up-converting the signal transmitted from the mobile terminal to the proper transmit radio frequency (RF) as taught by Abdelgany.

Regarding Claim 27, Mansour does not teach wherein the cellular transmitter includes a voltage-controlled oscillator having an operational range that is extended to include receiver frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal.

Abdelgany teaches a voltage-controlled oscillator having an operational range that is extended to include receiver frequencies of operation of the mobile terminal (Column 7 lines 31 - 36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile terminal of Mansour with the voltage-controlled oscillator (VCO) of Abdelgany for the purpose of down-converting the signal

received at the mobile terminal to the proper intermediate frequency (IF) for further processing as taught by Abdelgany.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 15

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean November 29, 2006

Eume Eume En State British Bri